## 2025 Communication Competitions Rubrics

**Rubric for Safety PSA Competition** 

PSA is not appropriate for a general audience, with technical jargon or highly specific topic. PSA is confusing and lacks a clear structure, making it difficult to follow.	PSA has limited relevance to a general audience, and language may be too technical. PSA is somewhat unclear, with noticeable gaps in organization or coherence.	<ul> <li>PSA is relevant to a specific audience but may lack broad appeal.</li> <li>Language is generally appropriate.</li> <li>PSA is generally clear but may lack some organization or coherence in presenting/sustaining</li> </ul>	<ul><li>PSA is relevant to a broad audience, with generally appropriate language and consideration of diverse perspectives.</li><li>PSA is clear and well-structured, with minor room for improvement in transitions or coherence.</li></ul>	PSA makes the topic engaging and accessible to a general audience, welcoming them into the conversation/topic. PSA is intuitive, well- structured, and easily understandable, with seamless transitions.	
lacks a clear structure, making it difficult to follow.	unclear, with noticeable gaps in organization or	but may lack some organization or coherence in	structured, with minor room for improvement in	structured, and easily understandable, with	
		ideas.		scamess transitions.	l
PSA has factual errors, style issues, and demonstrates a poor understanding of the topic.	PSA contains noticeable errors in facts or style, showing a limited understanding of the topic.	PSA is generally correct, with some inconsistencies in style or understanding of the topic.	PSA is accurate, follows guidelines, and demonstrates a solid understanding of the topic.	PSA is highly accurate, with a clear and confident communication of the topic.	
PSA lacks persuasive elements, with weak arguments and insufficient evidence/reasons.	PSA is less persuasive, with weak arguments and limited evidence/reasons.	PSA is moderately persuasive, with convincing elements but lacks consistency or depth.	PSA is persuasive, with strong arguments and evidence, though minor areas for improvement exist.	PSA is highly convincing, with compelling arguments supported by strong evidence.	
PSA fails to encourage action or provide clear steps for the audience.	PSA provides a weak call to action, with limited clarity on steps for the audience.	PSA offers a moderate call to action, with some clarity on steps for the audience.	PSA provides a clear and actionable call to action, encouraging the audience to take steps.	PSA delivers a compelling and memorable call to action, motivating the audience to act.	
s c u t F e a i i e F a	tyle issues, and lemonstrates a poor inderstanding of the opic. PSA lacks persuasive elements, with weak irguments and nsufficient evidence/reasons. PSA fails to encourage iction or provide clear	tyle issues, and lemonstrates a poor inderstanding of the opic.noticeable errors in facts or style, showing a limited understanding of the topic.PSA lacks persuasive elements, with weak rrguments and nsufficientPSA is less persuasive, with weak arguments and limited evidence/reasons.PSA fails to encourage teps for the audience.PSA provides a weak call to action, with limited clarity on steps	tyle issues, and lemonstrates a poor inderstanding of the opic.noticeable errors in facts or style, showing a limited understanding of the topic.correct, with some inconsistencies in style or understanding of the topic.PSA lacks persuasive elements, with weak rrguments and nsufficientPSA is less persuasive, with weak arguments and limited evidence/reasons.PSA is less persuasive, with weak arguments and limited evidence/reasons.PSA is noderately persuasive, with convincing elements but lacks consistency or depth.PSA fails to encourage ters for the audience.PSA provides a weak call to action, with limited clarity on stepsPSA offers a moderate call to action, with some clarity on steps	tyle issues, and lemonstrates a poor inderstanding of the opic.noticeable errors in facts or style, showing a limited understanding of the topic.correct, with some inconsistencies in style or understanding of the topic.guidelines, and demonstrates a solid understanding of the topic.VSA lacks persuasive elements, with weak rrguments and nsufficientPSA is less persuasive, with weak arguments and limited evidence/reasons.PSA is less persuasive, with weak arguments and limited evidence/reasons.PSA is moderately persuasive, with convincing elements but lacks consistency or depth.PSA is persuasive, with strong arguments and evidence, though minor areas for improvement exist.PSA fails to encourage ters for the audience.PSA provides a weak call to action, with limited clarity on stepsPSA offers a moderate call to action, with some clarity on stepsPSA provides a clear and actionable call to action, encouraging the audience	tyle issues, and lemonstrates a poor inderstanding of the opic.noticeable errors in facts or style, showing a limited understanding of the topic.correct, with some inconsistencies in style or understanding of the topic.guidelines, and demonstrates a solid understanding of the topic.with a clear and confident communication of the topic.SA lacks persuasive elements, with weak rrguments and nsufficientPSA is less persuasive, with weak arguments and limited evidence/reasons.PSA is moderately persuasive, with convincing elements but lacks consistency or depth.PSA is persuasive, with strong arguments and evidence, though minor areas for improvement exist.PSA delivers a compelling arguments supported by strong evidence.PSA fails to encourage torion or provide clear teps for the audience.PSA offers a moderate call to action, with limited clarity on steps for the audience.PSA offers a moderate call to action, with some clarity on steps for the audience.PSA offers a moderate call to action, with some clarity on steps for the audience.PSA delivers a compelling and memorable call to action, motivating the